

JAMES P. HAO  
ANTHONY C. MURABITO  
JOHN P. WAGNER, JR.  
GLENN D. BARNES  
MATTHEW J. BLECHER  
THOMAS M. CATALE  
JOSE S. GARCIA  
ERIC J. GASH  
LAWRENCE R. GOERKE  
MICHAEL R. HARDWAY  
LIN C. HSU  
RONALD M. POMERENKE  
REGINALD A. RATLIFF  
JOHN F. RYAN

M. DEAN MATTHEWS†  
JOEL D. YOUNGS†  
WILLIAM A. ZARBIS†  
DAVID A. GREWER††  
NEAL A. OSBORN††  
DAVID J. REIMLER††  
CAROLINE W. SMITH††  
ARTHUR M. TONSAGER, III††

WAGNER  
MURABITO  
& HAO LLP  
PATENT ATTORNEYS

TWO NORTH MARKET STREET  
THIRD FLOOR  
SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95113  
TELEPHONE: (408) 938-9060  
FACSIMILE: (408) 938-9069  
[www.wmhpatents.com](http://www.wmhpatents.com)

† PATENT AGENT  
†† PATENT ENGINEER



Office of Petitions  
Assistant Commissioner for Petitions  
Box DAC  
Washington, DC 20231

RECEIVED  
MAY 01 2003  
OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of  
Glen H. Lowe et al.  
Application No. 09/371,463  
Filed: August 10, 1999  
Attorney Docket No. 3COM-2496.IPG.US.P

#### RENEWED PETITION UNDER 37 CFR 1.137(b)

This is a renewed petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) to revive the above-identified application.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply to the non-final Office Action mailed February 28, 2002. The application became abandoned on May 29, 2002.

A petition filed February 4, 2003 was dismissed as lacking: (1) a reply to the non-final Office Action mailed February 28, 2002; and (2) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

This petition is accompanied by: (1) a reply to the non-final Office Action mailed February 28, 2002; and (2) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

The reply to the non-final Office Action mailed February 28, 2002 is transmitted herewith. The required statement under 37 CFR 1.137(b) is provided below.

Required Statement Under 37CFR 1.137(b)(3)

The Applicant submits that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional.

Date: 4/21/03

By: Reginald A. Ratliff  
Reginald A. Ratliff  
Reg. No. 48,098